Minutes of the Get Berkshire Active Quarterly Board Meeting

28 March 2024 1030-1330 Venue: Elizabethan Room, Bisham Abbey

Chair: Richard Parker

Present: Richard Parker (RP) (Chair), Avril Couper (AC) -online, Karen Ross MBE (KR), Jo Halliday (JH), Paul Owen OBE (PO), Victoria Bradley (VB), Harjinder Obhi (HO), Helen Keen (HK) -online, Tameena Hussain – online (TH), Rebecca Leach (RL) - online.

In Attendance Brett Nicholls (BN) (CEO Get Berkshire Active), Claire Sweeney (CS) (Financial Director), Callum Kwasnik (CK) (Sports Welfare Officer) Item 8 Only

Apologies: no apologies for this meeting

Item	Description	Action	Deadline
1.	Welcome and Apologies RP welcomed trustees to the March 24 Board Meeting.		
	3 trustees via Teams online (as indicated above)		
	BN confirmed there were no apologies.		
	Agenda item closed.		
2.	Declaration of interests (DOI)		
	Action New DOI form required from RP in due course. DC to email the form. RP to complete and return electronically to GBA.	DC/RP	Apr 24
	No further updates or changes notified.		
	Reminder to Board members: Please request and complete a new DOI form with any future changes to employment, memberships, appointments, investments and so forth, specifically relevant if any changes relate to a conflict of interest with a GBA trustee role and to provide updates if members sit on other boards committees.		
	Agenda item closed		
3.	Minutes and Actions arising from the 14 th Dec 2023 GBA Board meeting (paper distributed & completed as necessary in advance of the meeting).		
	Paper 1 - Minutes of GBA BM Dec 2023: Online Vote on accuracy (completed in advance)		
	All BMs had confirmed accuracy of the minutes via the online system. Opportunity provided to ask any further questions:		
	Decision: Vote on accuracy completed. December 2023 minutes approved by Board Members.		

Item	Description	Action	Deadline
	Paper 2: Action Log:		
	Further round table discussion opportunity provided regarding the action log.		
	RP re-iterated that everyone had now seen the action log and minutes. No		
	further comments made.		
	Agenda item closed		
4.	Quarterly Activities Update & Progress Dashboard		
	Items included to keep BMs up to date with GBA/SE Reporting. Time allocated in meeting for discussion on both papers:		
	Paper 3: GBA Activities Update		
	Paper 4: GBA Progress Dashboard.		
	Questions by BMs to BN taken & answered electronically in advance,		
	opportunity in meeting for BM's to discuss, check and challenge.		
	The Activities report mentioned a meeting that was due to take place post the writing of the paper but the day prior to the BM. This was connected to the SE Place Based Expansion work where Britwell in Slough had been identified as a target area. BN gave a detailed overview of the background to this work and the meeting. He explained the meeting had been attended by GBA and SE who gave a brief on the concept to around 18 local strategic partners (PH, NHS, Police, Education and others).		
	BMs asked why Britwell, who had identified this area and why and was it going to be a competitive bidding process. BN gave an overview of the process. SE have identified 80-100 additional Place Expansion target areas based on areas of deprivation and activity rates. The process would not be like a normal funding bid. First it would be needs led and thus different in each area. There is a 3-stage process: an inception meeting (Wed 27 Mar); formulating a development bid (approx 3 months); the development phase 6-9 months leading to the submission of a full bid. If successful the programme would then be funded until Mar 28. The bidding process is not competitive; Britwell has been identified and is an investment target for SE.		
	AC asked who would lead the process. BN explained there were different models around the country but that he saw the following as a model here: GBA would be the lead partners for governance and finance of the programme. GBA would help facilitate the setting up of a Strategic Steering group consisting of senior local stakeholders and a delivery group of local partners. He further explained this would be significant investment and well beyond setting up of a few short-term projects but would rather be about trying to address systemic system issues.		
	KR asked if this would involve BN and GBA staff in significant extra work. BN explained that it would and especially in the creation of the development bid over the next 3-months. However, it was then hoped that funding would be		

received from SE for the Development Phase to help with the capacity to do the work. BN explained that based on the Inception Meeting he had now	
the work RN explained that based on the Incention Meeting he had now	
the work. Bit explained that based on the inception weeting he had now	
drafted a 3-month road map (for team discussion) to ensure that a proposed	
date for submission of the development phase bid was identified early on to	
ensure we kept this work moving at pace. He explained his plan would be to	
let the board see the draft bid before submission to SE.	
DNAs reised the issue and importance of evaluation and being clear at the start	
BMs raised the issue and importance of evaluation and being clear at the start	
what the aims and objectives were. BN explained that Measurement, Evaluation & Learning (MEL) would be a significant part of the programme and	
that SE would be helping to create a MEL framework and that there would also	
be a national evaluation partner.	
be a flational evaluation partifier.	
BMs asked what the downside could be – what are the risks? BN explained	
that given the scale of the investment it would be our reputation and standing	
both with SE and our local strategic partners. However, the flip side is an	
opportunity to make a real difference and enhance further our place in the	
local system. One of the keys to greatest success would be collaboration	
across projects and programmes already running (or about to be launched) by	
other agencies in Britwell. If successful there is great opportunity for synergy	
and enhanced funding.	
There were no further questions on the Activities Report of the Dashboard.	
Item Closed.	
5. Safeguarding (SG): NB Safeguarding is a mandatory item for the board.	
JH introduced the agenda item for SG and explained she had had an	
opportunity to meet with GBAs Safeguarding leads (James for CYP and Kirsty	
for Adults) prior to the meeting. The main discussion had was around the	
recruitment process and ensuring that GBA had an easy-to-follow standardised	
process to support those running recruitment because they are likely to do it	
very rarely. JH had provided James and Kirsty a robust recruitment checklist.	
There was an understanding that the last process (recruitment of Kallum)	
didn't follow our normal process but this was mainly due to it being a	
combined recruitment with Active Oxfordshire (AO) and Leap with AO leading	
the process. It was agreed that in future we would revert to the GBA process	
and that Kirsty had agreed to produce a simple flowchart. Good practice	
(especially for EEDI) meant we would ensure we would use our standardised	
GBA Application form (rather than allowing CVs). BN interjected that this	
discussion between JH and the GBA safeguarding leads had already proved	
beneficial as we are in the middle of another recruitment round and following	
this good practice.	
JH explained she had asked Kirsty and James what they saw as the biggest SG	
risks. Kirsty had identified engaging partners in the SG process and it was	
agreed that it was all about building relationships and hopefully the new Sports	
Welfare Officer (CK) could play a key role. James identified that the SG Policy	
would need a thorough re-write rather than a simple annual review. JH asked	

Item	Description	Action	Deadline
	James when this should take place and he felt prior to the next annual CPSU		
	review (Oct/Nov).		
	KR asked did we have an overall process for the review of GBAs key policies		
	and procedures. BN explained that we did. He had created a document table		
	listing the key policies and procedures, the 'owner' and the past and future		
	review dates. He noted that depending on the policy/procedure the review		
	would either be every 12 or 24 months.		
	Action: BN to distribute this policy review list to BMs for their information	BN	Apr 24
	Document available to view here <u>20171101- GBA Policy List.docx</u> NB: links within this document cannot be accessed by BMs. If you would like to view any specific document then please request via BN.		
	The same of the same shall be same to the same same same same same same same sam		
	Agenda item closed		
6.	Equality, Equity, Diversity & Inclusion (EEDI) - NB EEDI is a mandatory item for the board. VB (Board EEDI Champion) explained she had met with GBA EEDI lead (Cathy) prior to the meeting.		
	Unfortunately, we are still to hear back from AKD Solution in regard to our Diversity & Inclusion Action Plan (DIAP). There was a general discussion about the level of support through this national partner and how we still felt there was a lack of understanding on the role of Active Partnerships and GBA. This meant we had to include a very long preface to our DIAP to explain who we were, what we did and how we are very different to NGBs. There was still obviously a risk that our proposed DIAP would not 'pass' the review. BMs asked what this would mean and BN explained that he hoped it would lead into a discussion about what was needed and an opportunity to develop it further.		
	VB raised the subject of mandatory training for BMs and reminded BMS that BN had previously sent round a list of that training with a request for completion. Questions were raised on how to access to Charity Learning Portal (CLP) and whether BMs had to complete the GBA mandated training if they had undergone similar training with their own organisations.		
	Decision: It was decided that for standardisation and good practice all BMS should complete the GBA training indicated. BN was asked to resend the list and the link to the portal. If BMs couldn't remember their login password they would need to request a reset through BN.		
	Actions:		
	 I. BN to redistribute the mandatory training list II. BN to redistribute link to the CLP III. BMs to request new password if applicable 	BN BN All BMs	Apr 24 Apr 24 Apr 24
	IV. BMs to complete mandatory training	All BM's	Jun 24
	NB: Board Member Training and Resource List (with link to CLP) can be accessed here: 20240110-GBA Board Member Training List.docx		
	VB informed the meeting that the GBA team would (in May) have a team discussion facilitated by Cathy and Kirsty on the issue of Trans Inclusion in		

Item	Description	Action	Deadline
	Sport noting any potential affects on women and girls sports participation. RP noted that GBA should have a clear organisational stance on this subject. Often this was being left up to NGBs and there didn't yet seem to be a coherent stance from the AP network or SE.		
	Decision: The board would discuss this issue at the June BM	BN	Jun 24
	Action: BN to schedule this into the agenda for the June meeting		
7.	Staff Welfare Survey. The Board Welfare Champion (RL) led a discussion on the results of the staff welfare survey.		
	RL can a context background to the survey and mentioned that the GBA team had already held an internal discussion on the results and were keen for the board to do the same and for the board to provide ideas based on their knowledge skills and experience. RL explained that in addition to the summary results already disturbed she had done her own analysis and was now going to share that with the board for discussion.		
	The over all headline was very positive. GBA staff reported that they had a higher level of work life balance and greater level of happiness in their roles than the Active Partnership network as a whole. The staff are also very motivated by GBA's Mission. They can express opinions and ideas as well as raise concerns. They have strong relationships with colleagues and believe the organisational values, inclusivity. They have confidence in skills and behaviours of the senior leadership team and work to try new things is supported.		
	RL went onto highlight a few areas that may be appropriate for discussion and ideas for improvement although she reiterated that where staff had indicated 'not sure' or even 'disagree' this was rare and often probably one or two people on each occasion. BN pointed out that he always led the discussion with staff on the premise that even if just one person it was still worthy of discussion and review.		
	Supporting active travel was raised but both the board and staff noted that the location of GBA's office and our mainly working from home made this a left relevant question. Cycle to work scheme were discussed but CS pointed out we would not meet HMRCs rule of using any bike purchased by the scheme for a minimum of 50% journey for work.		
	RL highlighted that a few members of the team didn't agree that they are paid fairly for their role whilst some staff indicated they didn't know when their salary will next be reviewed. RL felt the pay rate issue was more likely to be a judgement across wider industry than the charity sector. She stated that whilst pay might be lower than could be achieved elsewhere the other benefits of working for GBA (flexibility, home life balance etc) go some way to offsetting this. BN informed the board that he and Claire had clarified with all staff the pay review process and this was now understood by all.		
	Some members of the team would like more regular in office contact but most felt the current arrangement worked best. Both the board and staff discussed ways of ensuring contact across the team is maintained. It was pointed out that the office was available at any time for those who wanted to meet there and that others would sometimes meet up in convenient locations around the county. BN explained that the fortnightly team office day was not just used for		

Item	Description	Action	Deadline
	individual work but for team activities, learning, strategy development at so on		
	and often tried to include an activity such as a walk or table tennis.		
	One member of the team was conserved that CDA wasn't necessarily		
	One member of the team was concerned that GBA wasn't necessarily representative of the Berkshire population. The board discussed the		
	difference between 'representing' and being 'representative' of the		
	population. BN pointed out that as we were a very small team and that		
	Berkshire was a very diverse county with dozens and dozens of different		
	demographics our staff couldn't possibly be representative of them all. Thus,		
	GBA's role is to 'represent' communities (rather than being representative of		
	them) and we do this by ensuring partnerships with those key organisations		
	and stakeholders who work closely on the ground with our key target groups.		
	RL noted she had previously done a workshop on biases and BN confirmed the		
	whole team had undergone 'Unconscious Bias' training.		
	Di a stad that that a stadionard and a fabra to say fall the area as a such		
	RL noted that that not all members of the team felt there was enough feedback. BN mentioned that if anyone area had a trend for coming up in staff		
	surveys it was this one. It had previously been a special attention area for		
	improvement which led to a complete change of how we do staff annual		
	appraisals. Nevertheless, the question of feedback often comes up.		
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	Finally, RL noted that some members of the team would appreciate mentoring		
	or support from the board. BN noted that the national AP team previously		
	facilitated a mentoring scheme (that he was a mentor for) but this had stopped		
	prior to Covid. Some BMs expressed an interest in mentoring or simply		
	providing training in areas around their expertise.		
	Decision: BN was to write and ask BMs to indicated if they were:		
	a. Interested in offering themselves as a potential mentor		
	b. Interested and able to offer any staff training		
	Action: BN to write to BMs	BN	Apr 24
	RP thanked RL for facilitating this discussion		
	NB: Presentation available here: 20240329-Welfare Survey.pdf		
	Polo of the new Sports Wolfers Officer CV island the mosting to mark the		
8.	Role of the new Sports Welfare Officer. CK joined the meeting to present on		
	his new role and invite discussion and questions from BMs.		
	CK explained the background to his role which was a national rollout across all		
	43 national APs. The role was deemed necessary by SE and UK Sport because		
	of well documented safeguarding and welfare failings in several major sports		
	(the White Review into Gymnastics for example).		
	the write neview into dyninastics for example).		
	He explained that GBA was one of the first 4 to recruit its post which put us in		
	a strong position to get on with this work. It was noted that some APs still		
	hadn't recruited for their post. However, the national team had and this		
	meant opportunities for national led learning an ideas.		
	meant opportunities for national lea tearning an ideas.		
	CK stressed his role was both about safeguarding (adult and children) but also		
	very much about welfare issues outside of safeguarding relating to the culture		
<u> </u>		<u> </u>	i .

tem	Description	Action	Deadline
	of coaching (i.e. focussed on winning at all costs). He noted his role was not to		
	be a case manager but rather to support clubs and other organisations have		
	the right policies, procedures and more importantly, culture, in place. PO		
	noted that his experience of being a NGB CEO also made him away that it was		
	important to get the culture right. If clubs could create friendly, happy		
	environments athletes could thrive and that this rather than a bullying,		
	coercive culture (often associated with Eastern European/Chinese coaching		
	culture) could be the winning advantage best suited to UK sport.		
	CK was already making in roads into connections and building relationships.		
	He noted he also had access to the CPSU and Ann Craft Trust. He was asked if		
	clubs and NGBs were welcoming his support. He noted it was early days.		
	Some were more opening and welcoming than others and to begin with he		
	was going with where doors were most open. It was hoped that a combination		
	of a top down (national team and SE/UK Sport approaching NGBs) and bottom		
	up us approaching local clubs would be the best route.		
	CK went through his slides and explained what work he had done so far and his		
	plans for the medium term.		
	KR asked what his process was if he saw an immediate safeguarding issue with		
	a child. It was noted that this was unlikely as his role wasn't about being at		
	events and club train sessions it was more about meeting officials and		
	reviewing their policies and procedures. However, in this sense CK was in no		
	different a position to any other member of the GBA team. If we witnessed a		
	SG incident, we had policies and procedures to follow and a duty to raise the		
	concern appropriately.		
	CK was asked how he might cope if the 'floodgates' opened and too many		
	clubs and organisations were seeking his support. It was noted that this was		
	not the main worry at present (rather it was about getting more awareness of		
	his role out there) but also that not all of his work had to be done on a one-to-		
	one basis. He would be able to use online workshops and his was also looking		
	at useful training and support that could be provided by other agencies (for		
	which we have a budget). BN also noted that the aim was to develop a good		
	support package on our website that would ultimately become the first 'port		
	of call'.		
	The board thanked CK for his time and presentation and wished him well with		
	the role. They hoped to see him back at a future BM to update on how things were going.		
	NB access to CK's presentation: 20240311 Board SWO presentation.pdf		

Item	Description	Action	Deadline
9.	Financial Forecast - NB this Item to allow assurance of good financial control.		
	Consideration / Approval of Finance & Governance Committee Meeting notes from 15 Mar 24 (Paper 6) and Finance Papers (Paper 7)		
	Distributed in Advance. Questions only and Vote on approval		
	BN noted the finance updates were fully scrutinised as usual in the F&G meeting 2 weeks prior. CS ran the board through the current fiscal and FY 24-25 proposal. No major issues and no specific questions from the board. CS sought approval from the Board for the proposed 24-25 budget.		
	Decision: The Board approved the 24-25 budget.		
	Future Considerations:		
	CS explained that we had been in discussion with and have approval from SE to re-configure the final 3 years of their funding in this cycle. This would not affect to total amount available (2.5 million for the 5-years of this cycle) but would change how much we got in the next 3 years compared to our original profile. This would allow us to have less know and more in the final year when we were predicting the biggest deficit. It also gave us the opportunity of updating the profile to reflect current roles and posts some of which have changed compared to the start of this cycle.		
	The board once again thanks Claire for all her work ensuring we had excellent financial processes and procedures.		
	Agenda item closed.		
10	Review of the Strategic Risk Log. HO (the GBA Risk Champion) introduced this session as an opportunity to brainstorm the key organisational risks. He explained that while GBA had a strong Risk Policy and an extensive Risk log we didn't want to be complacent by just constantly reviewing what it currently contained. Instead, he wanted BMs to have the opportunity of thinking about and identifying the key organisation risks for themselves. He explained that this session was a win-win. If BMs came up with suggestions we already had covered this would act as reassurance and if new risks were identified this would be a positive contribution to our risk matrix. This was the rationale for not sharing the current risk matrix with BMs prior to the meeting (although those BMs on the F&G committee would of course be familiar with it).		
	HO then asked BMs to take 10 minutes to write down at least one risk. He asked for the description of the risk and a description of the impact if this risk occurred. BMS who joined online were put in an online chat room to discuss this.		
	A discussion then took place around the various Risks identified.		
	Decision: It was agreed that these suggestions would be emailed direct to BN and he would review them against the current content of the Risk matrix and update the matrix as appropriate. This new draft was to be shared initially with HO before sharing and summarise the results of this session with the board.		

Item	Description	Action	Deadline
	Action: BMS to email BN their ideas for Risks. Action: BN to review, and update Risk Matrix where appropriate.	All BMs BN	ASAP May 24
11	Board Survey: BMs discussed the results of the recent annual board satisfaction survey (had been distributed as Paper 8 prior to the meeting). The vast majority of answers were either Agree/Strongly Agree so BN had just highlighted rows where a 'not sure' or 'disagree' even if selected by just 1 person. One area was around representation with the discussion very similar to that about staff representation. RP mentioned we used to have a rep from the local authorities. BN explained the history behind this and the move from it being a CEO (who didn't really represent all 6 LAs) to the Director of PH but that after Tessa Lindfield left Berkshire created 2 DPH and we felt it wouldn't be appropriate to have both. Since then Berkshire are now going to have 5 DPHs! Moreover, representation from LA/PH could sometimes cause conflict of interest. There were no specific action arriving from the survey. It would be issued again in Dec 25.		
12.	AOB The Board initiated a generally discussion about board member succession noting that 3 members would be due for retirement by March 25 and that we had two spaces available anyway.		
	Decision: The Board recruitment pack was to be refreshed and prepared for board approval with the board EEDI Champion having had first sight.		
	Action: BN to update the board recruitment material and pass to VB for scrutiny.	BN/VB	End of May 24
	Action: BN to include updated recruitment pack in the June Board Agenda for approval.	BN	Jun 24
	Agenda item closed		
13	Dates of meetings for 2024		
	 20 Jun 2024 26 Sep 2024 19 Dec 2024 		
	RP thanked all for their attendance and input, wished everyone a happy Easter and closed the March 2024 Board Meeting		